

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/530,787	07/19/2000	MAKOTO TODA	NIT-195 4			
24956 75	24956 7590 01/14/2004			EXAMINER		
MATTINGLY, STANGER & MALUR, P.C. 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD			MCLEAN MAYO, KIMBERLY N			
SUITE 370			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			2187			
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/2004	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

		A	pplication No.	Ar	pplicant(s)		l
. Office Action Summary		0	9/530,787	тс	DDA ET AL.		
		E	xaminer	Ar	t Unit		
			imberly N. McLean-May		87		
<i>The N</i> Period for Reply	MAILING DATE of this commo Y	ınication appear	rs on the cover sheet v	vith the corre	spondence a	ddress	
THE MAILIN  - Extensions of ti after SIX (6) Mo  - If the period for  - If NO period for  - Failure to reply  - Any reply receiv	NED STATUTORY PERIOD G DATE OF THIS COMMU ime may be available under the provisic ONTHS from the mailing date of this correply specified above is less than thirty reply is specified above, the maximum within the set or extended period for reved by the Office later than three month erm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a) mmunication. (30) days, a reply with statutory period will al ply will, by statute, cau is after the mailing date	). In no event, however, may a nin the statutory minimum of th pply and will expire SIX (6) MC ise the application to become A	a reply be timely f irty (30) days will DNTHS from the r ABANDONED (3	iled be considered time nailing date of this of 5 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.	
1)⊠ Respo	nsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>19 July :</u>	<u>2000</u> .				
2a)∐ This a	ction is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This act	ion is non-final.				
	this application is in condition in accordance with the prac					ne merits is	
Disposition of C	Claims			•			
4a) Of 5)⊠ Claim( 6)⊠ Claim( 7)⊠ Claim(	(s) <u>1-39</u> is/are pending in the the above claim(s) is (s) <u>18-21</u> is/are allowed. (s) <u>1,5-8,10-15,22-24,26,30-</u> (s) <u>2-4, 9, 16, 17, 25, 27-29,</u> (s) are subject to rest	/are withdrawn 32,34,35 and 3 33 and 36 is/ar	<u>7-39</u> is/are rejected. e objected to.				
Application Par	pers						
10)⊠ The dra Applica Replac 11)⊡ The oa	ecification is objected to by awing(s) filed on 19 July 200 ant may not request that any observed that drawing sheet(s) include the or declaration is objected.	00 is/are: a)⊠ is epjection to the dra ing the correction	wing(s) be held in abeya is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 g(s) is object	CFR 1.85(a). ed to. See 37 C		
•	85 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			0.440(-) (	1) . (6)		
a) All  1. 2	wledgment is made of a cla b) Some * c) None of Certified copies of the priori Certified copies of the priori Copies of the certified copie application from the Interna attached detailed Office ac dedgment is made of a clain specific reference was included.  1.78. The translation of the foreign of the dedgment is made of a clain the was included in the first set	ty documents he ty documents he es of the priority tional Bureau (F tion for a list of the for domestic p ded in the first sellanguage provise	ave been received. ave been received in documents have been CT Rule 17.2(a)). the certified copies not riority under 35 U.S.C entence of the specificional application has riority under 35 U.S.C	Application on received in the received.  So § 119(e) (incation or in the preceived.  So §§§§§§§§§§§§§§§§§§§§§§§§§§§§§§§§§§§	No n this Nationa to a provisiona an Application ed. d/or 121 since	al application) n Data Sheet. e a specific	
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice of Draf	erences Cited (PTO-892) ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review isclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449				O-413) Paper No nt Application (PT		

Art Unit: 2187

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The enclosed detailed action is in response to the Preliminary Amendment, Priority Paper, Information Disclosure Statement and the Application submitted on July 19, 2000.

### Specification

- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 3. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. Claims 13 and 14 recite the limitation "the control information" in line 2 and line 4 respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 2187

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claims 1, 5-6, 26 and 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sharma et al. (USPN: 5,960,463).

Regarding claims 1, 6, 26 and 31, Sharma discloses a data processor comprising a CPU for outputting a first address (Figure 2A- logic within Reference 120 which provides an address to Reference 210); address translations means for inputting the first address, translating the first address to a second address and outputting the second address (Figure 3A, Reference 320; C 2, L 35-41); and address output means for inputting the second address and outputting the second address to an external device (Figure 3a, Reference 220 – the second address is output to any external device coupled to Reference 122, refer to Figure 1A), wherein the address translation means stores an external device control information for controlling the external device in association with at least either one of the first address or the second address and outputs the external device control information to the external device via the address outputting means (the address output from the address out means indicates the external a location at which a control operation will take place such as a read/write operation and thus the address information is control information).

Art Unit: 2187

Regarding claims 5 and 30, Sharma discloses the second address output from the address output means is input to the address output means via a cache memory and a bus (memory within Reference 120 which stores the page tables for address translation).

9. Claims 7 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Luick (USPN: 6,349,362).

Regarding claims 7 and 34, Luick discloses a first address output by a CPU (address output from Reference 108 in Figure 1); address translation means for translating the first address to a second address (translation logic within Reference 118 in Figure 1 – the DASD interface inherently translates the address from the CPU into a cylinder/sector/track format for the DASD device); and address output means (comprised of References 114, logic within Reference 106 which initiates a L2 cache access in response to a L1 cache miss and the means coupling References 118 and 104) for outputting an address to both a first external device (Figure 1, Reference 116) and a second external device (Figure 1, Reference 104), wherein the first address is output to the first external device via the address output means, the address output means outputs first external device control information (read/write control lines via Reference 114 and logic within Reference 106 which initiates a L2 cache access in response to a L1 cache miss) stored in the address output means in association with the first address, together with the first address to the first external device (C 5, L 40-42; C 7, L 46-67) and when the second address is output to the second external device via the address output means, the address output means outputs (via the means coupling References 118 and 104) second external device control information (read/write

Art Unit: 2187

control lines), stored in the address translation means in association with either the first address or the second address, together with the second address to the second external device (C 4, L 66-67; C 5, L 1-6).

# . Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claim 8, 10-11, 35 and 37-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luick (USPN: 6,349,362).

Regarding claims 8, 11, 35 and 38, Luick discloses the limitations cited above, however, Luick does not disclose the second external device having a PCMCIA interface. However, it is well known in the art to interface a computer to different interfaces such as PCI, PCMCIA, ISA to allow the computer to access the devices connected to the interfaces. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include an external device having a PCMCIA interface to Luick's system for the desirable purpose of expandability [by expanding the accessibility of the computer to devices having a PCMCIA interface].

Regarding claims 10 and 37, Luick discloses the second address input to the address output means via a cache memory and a bus (memory within Reference 118 which stores the information, such as table/directory for address translation).

Art Unit: 2187

12. Claims 12 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luick (USPN: 6,349,362) as applied to claims 8 and 35 and further in view of Richter et al. (USPN: 5,905,885).

Luick discloses the limitations cited above, however, Luick does not disclose the CPU, address translation means, address output means and a PCMCIA interface in a second external device formed on the same semiconductor substrate. However, Richter discloses these features (Figure 1, Reference 100 [substrate comprises the elements], Reference 143 comprises the address translation and address output means, Reference 140 and Reference 106). This implementation is provided to achieve certain design goals as is the case with any design implementation. Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Richter's teachings with the teachings of Luick for the desirable purpose of achieving the design goals afforded by this specific design organization.

13. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the submitted prior art Kenzo (Japan – 63-296158) in view of Richter (USPN: 5,905,885).

Kenzo discloses a data processor (comprised of conversion means [Abstract] and Reference 1 in Figure 1) connected via a system bus (Figure 1, Reference 2); a device having an interface (Figure 1, any one of References M1-M7); wherein the data processor keeps control information (data width) of the device in an address translation buffer (conversion means) provided in the data processor, which translates an address necessary to access the device by the address translation buffer at the time of accessing the device and controls the device in accordance with

Art Unit: 2187

the control information kept in the address translation buffer (Abstract). Kenzo does not explicitly disclose a device having a PCMCIA interface. However, Richter teaches the concept of a device having a PCMCIA interface (Figure 1A; C 1, L 28-37). Richter teaches that PCMCIA is a standard interface and specification to allow PCMCIA cards to vary the capabilities of a computer system thereby intrinsically providing flexibility to the system. Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a device having a PCMCIA interface in Kenzo's system for the desirable purpose of flexibility.

14. Claims 15 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luick (USPN: 6,349,362) in view of Richter (USPN: 5,905,885).

Luick discloses a CPU for outputting a first address (address output from Reference 108 in Figure 1); address translation means for inputting the first address, translating the first address to a second address and outputting the second address (translation logic within Reference 118 in Figure 1 – the DASD interface inherently translates the address from the CPU into a cylinder/sector/track format for the DASD device); and address output means (comprised of References 114, logic within Reference 106 which initiates a L2 cache access in response to a L1 cache miss and the means coupling References 118 and 104) for inputting the second address and outputting the second address to an external device (Figure 1, Reference 104); wherein the address translation means stores an external device control information (read/write control information) for controlling the external device in association with at least either one of the first address or the second address, wherein the first address is input to the address translation means (via Reference 119), the address translation means outputs the external device control

Art Unit: 2187

information to the address output means based on the first address or the second address translated based on the first address (C 4, L 66-67; C 5, L 1-6) and address output means for outputting the external device control information to the external device (via the means coupling References 118 and 104). Luick does not disclose the external device having a PCMCIA interface. Richter teaches the concept of an external device having a PCMCIA interface (Figure 1A; C 1, L 28-37). Richter teaches that PCMCIA is a standard interface and specification to allow PCMCIA cards to vary the capabilities of a computer system thereby intrinsically providing flexibility to the system. Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a device having a PCMCIA interface in Luick's system for the desirable purpose of flexibility.

15. Claims 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the submitted Sharma et al. (USPN: 5,960,463) in view of the submitted prior art Kenzo (Japan – 63-296158).

Sharma discloses a CPU for outputting a virtual address (Figure 2A- logic within Reference 120 which provides an address to Reference 210); first address translation means and second address translation means for inputting the virtual address, translating the virtual address to a physical address and outputting the physical address (Figure 2A, References 275 and 235); and external bus control means for inputting the physical address and outputting to an external device (Figure 2A, References 220 and 122); selection logic for selecting information output from the first address translation means or the second address translation means (Figure 2A, Reference 260). Sharma does not disclose the first and second address translation means storing external device

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 09/530,787

Art Unit: 2187

control information for controlling the external device in association with either the first address

or the second address and selection means for selecting the external device control information

output from the first address translation means or the second address translation means.

However, Kenzo teaches the concept of storing external device control information (data width)

in an address translation means (Abstract). Kenzo discloses that this feature improves processing

efficiency (Abstract) particularly in system with peripheral (external devices) having different

data widths. Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use Kenzo's

teachings in the system taught by Sharma [with external devices having different data widths] for

the desirable purpose of efficiency.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-4, 9, 16-17, 25, 27-29, 33 and 36 are objected to as being dependent upon a 16.

rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

17. Claims 18-21 are allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 18.

disclosure.

Charles – USPN: 6,044,215 – PCMCIA interface.

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 09/530,787

Art Unit: 2187

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly N. McLean-Mayo whose telephone number is 703-308-9592. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00 - 6:30) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Sparks can be reached on 703-308-1756. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7329 for regular communications and 703-746-7240 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2100.

Kimberly N. McLean-Mayo

Examiner
Art Unit 2187

**KNM** 

December 29, 2003